INTERVIEW SHEET

Name:		
	Agency:	
Field of Study	Position:	
Rate the Candidate in each di	nension with the follow	ing points:
Dimension / Traits		Rating
1. Communication Skills/Alertness	¥.	
2. Conscientiousness and Determination		25%
3. Creativity and Innovativeness	•	25%
4. Judgement/Analytical Skills	9	25%.
	***	25%
TOTAL		100%
COMMUNICATION SKILLS/ALERTNESS	.	
The ability to present ideas — the manner the expressing oneself and the command of the Enggrasp meaning and appropriately respond to que	iligh ignomana The chill	the of the sound date to
		Rating
L CONSCIENTIOUSNESS AND DETERMIN	ATION	
Commitment, patience, perseverance or the not giving up until the goal of completing the ta	sks/degree is achieved.	Rating
		rating
I. CREATIVITY AND INNOVATIVENESS		
The ability to develop new methods, system to apply learnings on the job is also a crucial fa	s, processes, using one's	s innovativeness. Creativ
		Rating
		Kaimg
. Judgemental/analytical skills		
Candidate's ability to think of alternative co the candidate impress you as a person whose ju Does the interviewee's reply give you reassuring problem in a logical systematic way?	ioment would be denond	Organia mobare more place
		Rating
	٠,	
amarks/Comments:	ign grant at	
•		
	· .	le.
PASSED	FAILED	

Interviewer's Signature Overprinted Name

Noted:

Chairman/Date Interviewed:

A candidate who gets a general rating of 80% passes the ORAL INTERVIEW. The interview will indicate in the final rating PASSED or FAILED only.

A Failing mark must be validated by the PSB Chairman by conducting another interview.

This interview sheet shall remain with the PSB Chairman. Only a list of interviewees with the corresponding rating will be sent to HRD Office.

Name of Applicant :

EDUCATION, TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE (ETE) RATING FORM (15%)

Current Position :				
Office :				
EDUCATION (40) pts)	Candidate's Points/Rating	Rema Other Info		
Completion of: - Baccalaureate Degree - Masteral Degree - Another Masteral Degree/LLB - Ph.D Units Earned(Ph.D. or Masteral): 3 - 15 units 16 - 30 31 - 45 Finished Academic requirements	25 pts 30 pts 5 5 1 2 3	TOTAL:		
TRAINING (20 pts)	e manufacture de la communicación de la commun	parameter and a second		of GACILLEM TOTAL PROPERTY.
Meets the minimum requirement of the position: 24 hours of training in management and supervision	10 pts	All regions agreed to the control of		
With additional relevant training hours	10		CT ALMOST	
Note: An additional 1 point is given for every 8 hours of additional relevant training. Only for the training programs/seminars attended for the last 5 years should be considered. A maximum of 10 points shall be given to either additional or relevant training		The state of the s		
THE STREET OF TH	Maria	TOTAL:	Laconstant	CATHODIST SHOW THE PARTY OF THE
Meets the minimum supervisory work requirements of the position: 4 years of supervisory work experience	20 pts		The desiration would are a trade of the second are provided by the second a	Co-bourt silverculor sequentis il venue centre.
With additional supervisory work experience	5	And the state of t	Dage contract of the contract	
With relevant work experience	5	## ACC COLUMN COL		
Note: An additional 2 points are given for every year of supervisory work experience in excess of the required work experience and 1 point for every year of non-supervisory work experience in the second level. A maximum of 10 points each shall be given/allowed to either additional or relevant work experience.	TARLET MANAGEMENT AND	TOTAL:		
TOTAL ET	E:		X 15%	I FIFE FOLIA
No. 1 for a color of the color	AAA DETAIN BERTAIN	The same of the sa		I ETE Points
1-4 months of supervisory work experient 5-6 months 9-11 months				= 1.0 point = 1.5 points
1-5 months of non-supervisory work expe 6-11 months		= .5 point = .75 points		

POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT FORM (To be accomplished by the Rater)

		•
Monte	-20-	40 .
1490083	orus	ndidate:

•	N	3	R	UC	74	e e	28
	يد	20	702	~~	28.6	344	45

As the immediate supervisor of the candidate, you are to rate him/her on certain factors concerning human relations, leadership and personal attributes which would indicate the potential of an individual to perform, not only the duties of the position to be filled but also those of higher and more responsible positions. Base your rating on the following levels of standards with their corresponding point score.

	LEVEL	POINT SCORE
Excel	emt .	•
•	a standard of performance that may be considered	
	exceptionally good	
		(<i>96</i> % - 100%)
Goed		
•	a standard of performance above the average and	•
•	meets all the normal requirements of the position	(90% - 95%)
 .		(2070 - 2270)
Avera	36	
	a standard of performance that meets the normal	3
	requirements of the position	(85% - 89%)
Fair	· .	
rall.	a consideral of marketing and a second	•
	a standard of performance which is below the normal	2
•	requirements of the position, but one that may be regarded as marginally or temporarily acceptable	(80% - 84%)
•	softwarer on stransfirming of resultational acceptable	•
Poer		
	a standard of performance regarded unacceptable for	
	the position	1
		(79% & below)
. •	(Be sure to record your rating of the candidate on each of	the finters. Do not a
tem. A	After rating the candidate, add the point score.)	are weening Do Hot C
		•
. Hu	MAN RELATIONS	
. خصن		POINTS
. 1. /	Ability to adopt/adjust to the Organization:	
47	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• ,
:1	.1 Is he/she able to adjust to the variety of personalities,	•
. •	rank and in normal groups present in the apponiactions	,
· 1	.2 Does he/she internalize work changes with case and vi	ignr?
.•		
2. £	Ability to Relate to Supervisors:	
•		
. :	2.1 How well does he/she respond to your request, deman	ods .
	and expectations?	· . · · ·
- 2	2.2 Does he/she appraise you of the significant problems	
	. In his/her work, their causes and appropriate stens to	•
	be taken to correct them? 2.3 In the face of differences in behavior between him and	
4	we wild use the research in consistence by the same of	1
	you, can he/she maintain his/her individual point of vi	

•		
٠,٠٠		
.,	3. Ability to Interface with Pears:	
	3.1. Doog ho/she harmatha managat and any angert and any	٠,
	3.1 Does he/she have the respect and acceptance of his/her peers? 3.2 Does he/she try to help his/her peers in clarifying points	
•	they are trying to resolve?	
	• •	
	4. Ability to Deal with the Clientele/Public:	
	4.1 Is he/she always cordial and respectful in dealing with	
. •	Transacting public?	
•	4.2 Does he/she show enthusiasm in providing the clients'	
	public the necessary advice and assistance they sought for?	
	IL LEADERSHIP:	
•	1. Is he/she able to encourage his peers and subordinates to	-
	commouse and participate in problem-solving and	
	decision-making?	
	2. Can he/she influence your thinking attitude and behavior and that of his/her peers?	
<u> </u>	3. When assigned with an hor external arouns, does he/sho	
	lead the members to do willingly the assigned	
•	taske/projects?	
•	4. When assigned to be a leader/chairman of the working group,	
•	does he/she assume responsibility for the work of the other members?	
•		
	IL PERSONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND ATTRIBUTES:	
	1. Ingenuity and Innovativeness	
	1.1 Is he/she intellectually critical of excisting standards, systems and policies?	
	1.2 Does he/she takes the initiative to organize or develop	
, ;	programs, systems and procedures and standards	•
	that will benefit the organization?	
	2. Stress Tolerance	
	Z. CHESS AUGUSTES	
	2.1 Does he/she have a high degree of tolerance for tension	
•	resulting from increasing volume of work, organization	
	change, environmental conflict, etc?	
•	2.2 Is he/she able to control and handle his/her anger and negative emotions?	
	2.3 Does he/she accept criticism chiectively rebother from	
	his subordinates, peers or superious?	
•	3. <u>Decisiveness</u>	
•	3.1 When you seek from him/her in solving problems, does	
	he/she submit considered analysis of alternatives and	•
	recommend suggestions or solutions?	
•	3.2 When the needs to make a decision is immediate, is	
•	he/she able to act quickly and make the bast decision possible?	
•	Programmer	
	TOTAL POINTS SCORE	
	·	

INTERVIEW ASSESSMENT FORM

(For Physical Characteristics & Personality Traits (PCPT) (To be accomplished by the Promotion Board)

INSTRUCTIONS:

You are to rate five candidates on certain characteristics and traits which can be observed when you talk with him/her face to face. Consider whether his/her personal characteristics as manifested during the interview, will be an asset or liability to the position being considered. Make your rating of the candidate's characteristics solely on evidence observed during the interview by putting a check-mark on the proper scale. (Each part of the scale has an equivalent point score).

Be sure to record your rating of the candidate on each of the trait. Do not omit any item. After rating the candidate, accomplish the summary rating form by indicating the point scale obtained in each characteristic. Add the total point scores.

TRAITS

- VOICE AND SPEECH. Is his/her voice inviting or pleasant? Can you easily hear what he/she says? Is his/her speech clear and distinct? Is his/her voice resonant and well-modulated?
 - Initating or indistinct
 - Understandable but rather unpleasant
 - Neither consplenously pleasant or unpleasant
 - Definitely pleasant and distinct
 - . Exceptionally clear and pleasing
- APPEARANCE. Does he/she lock like a well-set-up, healthy, energetic person? Has he/she bodily or social characteristics which might seriously hamper him? is he/ske well-groomed or unattractive in appearance?
 - Unprepossessing or unsuitable
 - Create rather unfavorable impression
 - Suitably accepting
 - Create distinctly favorable impression
 - Impressive, commands admiration
- ALERTNESS. Does he/she readily grasp the meaning of a question? Is he/she slow to apprehend even the more obvious points? Or does he/she understand quickly even through the idea is new, involved or difficult?
 - Showing, grasping obvious questions; often misunderstands meaning of questions.
 Slow to understand subtle points. Require explanation.

 - Neatly grasps intent of interviewer's questions.
 Rather quick to grasping questions and new idea
 - Exceptionally keen and qualified to understand.
- SELE-CONFIDENCE. Does he/she seem to be uncertain of himself/herself, healtant, lacking in assurance, easily biuffed? Or is he/she wholesomely self-confident and assured?
 - Timid, hesitant, easily influenced
 - Appears to be over self-conscious
 - Moderately confident of himself/herself
 - Wholesomely self-confident
 - Shows superb self-assurance
- EMOTIONAL MATURITY. Is he/she emotionally mature? Is he/she touchy, sensitive to criticism, easily upset? Is he/she irritated or impatient when things go wrong? Or does he keep an even keel?
 - Over sensitive; easily disconcerned.
 - Occasionally impatient or irritated. Well poised most of the time.

 - Superior self command
 - Exceptionally poised, calm and good humor under stress.

Page 2

6. ABILETY TO PRESENT IDEAS. Does he/she speak logically and convincingly or does he/she tend to be vague, confused, or illogical?

1 - Confused and illogical
2 - Tends to scatter or to become involved
3 - Usually gets his ideas across well
4 - Shows superior ability to corpress himself
5 - Unusually logical and confident

7. HUDGMENT. Does he impress you as a person whose judgment would be dependable even under stress? Or is he/she hasty, creatio, biased, swayed by his feelings?

1 - Notably lacking in balance and restraint
2 - Show some tradency to react impulsively and without restraint
3 - Acts judiciously or ordinary circumstances
4 - Gives reassuring ovidence of considered judgment
5 - Inspires unusual confidence in probable soundness of judgment

TRAITS POINTS

1. Voice and Speech
2. Appearance
3. Alestasss
4. Self-Confidence

5 = 96% - 100% 4 = 90% - 95%'

7. Judgment

Emotional Stability.
 Ability to Present Ide

3 = 86% - 89%

2 = 89% - 85%

1 = 79% & helow

RATER:

Date:

PSBF-G-1

PCPT Rating Form (5%)							
Name :							
Current Position :			No. of the last of				
Office :							
Position Applied for :							
Physical Characteristics and Personality Traits (10%)	Points Allocation		Candidates Rating	Remarks/ Other Information			
Appearance (Pleasing Personality)	20						
Alertness (Assertiveness / Group Discussion)	20						
Self-Confidence (Eye contact)	20						
Emotional Maturity Stress Tolerance)	20						
Decorum espect, courtesy, good mannersd right conduct)	20						
TOTAL	100 points						
			X 5%				
Applicant	's Total Points =						
Rater							
Date:							

PSBF C

POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT FORM (To be accomplished by the Rater)

Name of Candidate:__

INSTRUCTIONS				
As the immediate supervisor of the candidate, you are to rate him/her on certain factors concerning human relations, leadership and personal attributes which would indicate the potential of an individual to perform, not only the duties of the position to be filled but also those of higher and more responsible positions. Base your rating on the following levels of standards with their corresponding point score.				
LEVEL	OINT SCORE			
Excellent				
 a standard of performance that may be considered exceptionally good 	5 (96% - 100%)			
Good				
	4 (90% - 95%)			
Average				
 a standard of performance that meets the normal requirements of the position 	3 (85% - 89%)			
Fair				
a standard of performance which is below the normal requirements of the position, but one that may be regarded as marginally or temporarily acceptable	2 (80% - 84%)			
Poor				
- a standard of performance regarded unacceptable for the position	1 79% & below)			
(Be sure to record your rating of the candidate on each of the fatern. After rating the candidate, add the point score.)	actors. Do not omit any			
HUMAN RELATIONS	POINTS			
1. Ability to adopt/adjust to the Organization:				
1.1 Is he/she able to adjust to the variety of personalities, rank and in formal groups present in the organization?				
1.2 Does he/she internalize work changes with ease and vigor? 2. Ability to Relate to Supervisors:				
·				
2.1 How well does he/she respond to your request, demands and expectations?				
2.2 Does he/she appraise you of the significant problems in his/her work, their causes and appropriate steps to				
be taken to correct them? 2.3 In the face of differences in behavior between him and you, can he/she maintain his/her individual point of view?				
point of view?				

	3.2 Does he/she try to help his/her peers in clarifying points they are trying to resolve? 3.4 Does he/she try to help his/her peers in clarifying points they are trying to resolve?	***
4	Ability to Deal with the Clientele/Public:	
	 4.1 Is he/she always cordial and respectful in dealing with Transacting public? 4.2 Does he/she show enthusiasm in providing the clients' public the necessary advice and assistance they sought for? 	-
. II. <u>1</u>	LEADERSHIP:	-
3	Is he/she able to encourage his peers and subordinates to contribute and participate in problem-solving and decision-making? Can he/she influence your thinking attitude and behavior and that of his/her peers? When assigned with an hoc external groups, does he/she lead the members to do willingly the assigned tasks/projects? When assigned to be a leader/chairman of the working group, does he/she assume responsibility for the work of the other members?	
III. <u>P</u>	ERSONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND ATTRIBUTES:	
1.	Ingenuity and Innovativeness	
	1.1 Is he/she intellectually critical of existing standards, systems and policies? 1.2 Does he/she takes the initiative to organize or develop programs, systems and procedures and standards that will benefit the organization?	_
2.	Stress Tolerance	
	 2.1 Does he/she have a high degree of tolerance for tension resulting from increasing volume of work, organization change, environmental conflict, etc? 2.2 Is he/she able to control and handle his/her anger and negative emotions? 2.3 Does he/she accept criticism objectively whether from his subordinates, peers or superiors? 	-
3.	Decisiveness	Helm
	 3.1 When you seek from him/her in solving problems, does he/she submit considered analysis of alternatives and recommend suggestions or solutions? 3.2 When the needs to make a decision is immediate, is he/she able to act quickly and make the best decision possible? 	
	TOTAL POINTS SCORE	_
RATER		

3. Ability to Interface with Peers:

INTERVIEW ASSESSMENT FORM (For Physical Characteristics & Personality Traits)

INSTRUCTIONS:

You are to rate the candidate on certain characteristics and traits which can be observed when you talk with him/her face to face. Consider whether his/her personal characteristics as manifested during the interview will be an asset or liability to the position being considered. Make your rating of the candidate's characteristics solely on evidence observed during the interview by putting a checkmark on the proper scale. (Each part of the scale has an equivalent point score).

Be sure to record your rating of the candidate on each of the trait. Do not omit any item. After rating the candidate, accomplish the summary rating form by indicating the point score obtained in each characteristic. Add the total point scores.

TRAITS

- 1. APPEARANCE. Does he/she look like a well-set-up, healthy, energetic person? Has he bodily or social characteristics which might seriously hamper him? Is he/she wellgroomed or unattractive in appearance?
 - 1 Unprepossessing or unsuitable
 - 2 Create rather unfavorable impression
 - 3 Suitably accepting
 - 4 Create distinctly favorable impression
 - 5 Impressive, commands admiration
- 2. ALERTNESS. Does he/she readily grasp the meaning of a question? Is he/she slow to apprehend even the more obvious points? Or does he/she understand quickly even though the idea is new, involved or difficult?
 - 1 Showing, grasping obvious questions; often misunderstands meaning of questions.
 - 2 Slow to understand subtle points. Require explanation.
 - 3 Nearly grasps intent of interviewer's questions.
 - 4 Rather quick to grasping questions and new ideas.
 - 5 Exceptionally keen and qualified to understand.
- SELF-CONFIDENCE. Does he/she seem to be uncertain of himself/herself, besitant, lacking in assurance, easily bluffed? Or is lie wholesomely self-confident and assured?
 - 1 Timid, hesitant, easily influenced
 - 2 Appears to be over self-conscious
 - 3 Moderately confident of himself/herself
 - 4 Wholesomely self-confident
 - 5 Shows superb self-assurance
- 4. EMOTIONAL MATURITY. Is he/she emotionally mature? Is he/she touchy, sensitive to criticism, easily upset? Is he/she irritated or impatient when things go wrong? Or does he keep en even keel?
 - 1 Over sensitive; easily disconcerned
 - 2 Occasionally impatient or irritated
 - 3 Well poised most of the time
 - 4 Superior self command
 - 5 Exceptionally poised, calm and good humor under stress.

5. DECORUM.

- 1 Shows some tendency to reach impulsively and without restraint
- 2 Occasionally use street speak and filler words
- 3 Shows respect and courteous in manners and speech
- 4 Has shown a great deal of respect
- 5 Incorporate professional words and a polished attitude into his presentation